## Chemistry II (Organic)

# Heteroaromatic Chemistry LECTURES 2 & 3

Ring synthesis: cyclocondensations and cycloadditions

Alan C. Spivey a.c.spivey@imperial.ac.uk

Imperial College London

Feb 2012

## Format & scope of lectures 2 & 3

- Ring synthesis:
  - cyclisations vs. cycloadditions

## Cyclisation/cyclocondensation reactions

- essential functional group chemistry
  - imines & enamines
  - carbonyls, enols & enol ethers
  - thiocarbonyls, ene thiols & thioenol ethers
- kinetics & thermodynamics of ring closure
- common strategies for cyclisations
  - 5-membered rings
  - 6-membered rings
- design considerations

## Cycloaddition reactions

- 5-membered rings 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions [3+2]
- 6-membered rings hetero-Diels-Alder reactions [4+2]

## • Supplementary slides 1-7

- some background information



There are **2** distinct ways in which heterocyclic aromatic compounds can be prepared:

Cyclisation reactions of acyclic substrates



- *stepwise* formation of linear *cyclisation precursor*
- formation of **1 new bond** during ring-closure
- C-C or C-het bond
- triggered by nucleophiles, electrophiles, radicals etc.



**Cycloaddition reactions** 



- concerted formation of 2 new bonds
- Pericyclic mechanism
- C-C and/or C-het bonds
- convergent (efficiency, diversity)

1,3-dipolar cycloadditions give 5-memb rings



hetero-Diels-Alder reactions give 6-memb rings



- Imines formation:
  - **carbonyl** + <u>1° amine</u>  $\rightarrow$  <u>imine</u>:



need H<sup>+</sup> but if too much acid is present → protonates amine → stops nucleophilic addition. pH 4.5 is a compromise. For the reverse process, low pH → fast,~irreversible reaction (amine protonated → salt)

#### **reversible**:

- carbonyl form is thermodynamically most stable (C=O ~749 kJmol<sup>-1</sup> cf. C=N ~607 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>)
- need to drive off water (*i.e.* a *dehydration/condensation* reaction):
  - heat (>100 °C for  $H_2O$ )
  - 3 Å MS (Molecular Sieves) zeolites
  - azeotropic distillation 'Dean-Stark trap' (e.g. benzene  $H_2O$ )
  - chemical dehydration e.g. POCl<sub>3</sub> or  $c.H_2SO_4$

- Enamines formation:
  - □ carbonyl +  $2^{\circ}$  amine  $\rightarrow$  enamine:
    - last step is different:



#### • of course, imines can also form an enamine tautomer

but usually the imine form is preferred thermodynamically...except in special circumstances



- Imines & enamines intramolecular formation, i.e. ring-closure:
  - retrosynthetic analysis:



- Imines & enamines reactivity:
  - imines are ELECTROPHILES; enamines are NUCLEOPHILES:



- Carbonyls, enols & enol ethers intramolecular formation, i.e. ring-closure:
  - retrosynthetic analysis:



- Carbonyls, enols & enol ethers reactivity:
  - carbonyls are ELECTROPHILES; enols & enol ethers are NUCLEOPHILES:



...revise acid and base catalysed *aldol reactions* (see supplementary slides 1-2)

- **Thiocarbonyls, ene thiols & thioenol ethers** intramolecular formation, i.e. ring-closure:
  - retrosynthetic analysis:



- **Thiocarbonyls, ene thiols & thioenol ethers –** reactivity:
  - thiocarbonyls are ELECTROPHILES; ene thiols & thioenol ethers are NUCLEOPHILES:





*thiols* are MORE nucleophilic than *alcohols* & *thiocarbonyls* are MORE electrophilic than *carbonyls* 

- **Thiocarbonyls, ene thiols & thioenol ethers** formation:
  - thiocarbonyls are generally prepared from the corresponding carbonyl compounds:
    - typically use P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> or Lawesson's reagent (<u>e-EROS</u>):



- reactions driven by strength of P=O vs. P=S bond
- **u** thiols are generally formed by substitution of a leaving group (LG):



**CAUTION...** most thiol and thiocarbonyl compounds STENCH!

*Intra*-molecular *ring-closure vs. inter*-molecular *oligomerisation/polymerisation:* 



- <u>entropy</u> is the key factor:
  - concentration of the reaction high dilution favours ring-closure
  - ring size formed smaller rings favoured (but...see below)



- **Ring-closure** under *thermodynamic (TD) control* (*i.e.* reversible conditions):
  - many reactions forming heteroaromatic products are driven by:
    - favourable  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  due to loss of small molecule (as vapor at high temperature  $\rightarrow$  irreversible)
    - *i.e.* cyclodehydrations (-H<sub>2</sub>O) & cyclocondensations (-H<sub>2</sub>S, NH<sub>3</sub>, MeOH etc.)
    - favourable  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  due to stability of aromatic product
- **Ring-closure** under *kinetic control* (*i.e.* irreversible conditions):
  - less common when forming heteroaromatic products, but does affect the <u>rate</u> of TD controlled reactions:
    - variable ∆S<sup>#</sup> critically dependent on ring size & hybridisation of reacting centres <u>Baldwin's rules</u> (see supplementary slides 3-4)

**2** common strategies for cyclisations:



TYPE I: 2 x C-X bond formation

TYPE II: 1 x C-X bond & 1 x C-C bond formation

for synthetic equivalents of these 'synthons' and others (see supplementary slide 5)

TYPE I: e.g. Paal-Knorr furan synthesis

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & &$$

TYPE II: e.g. Knorr <u>pyrrole</u> synthesis

$$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \\ \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow}$$

the exact sequence of individual steps for most cyclocondensation reactions is unknown and will vary with reaction conditions (solvent, temperature, pH etc.) – seek plausible pathways **2** common strategies for cyclisations:



TYPE I: 2 x C-X bond formation

TYPE II: 1 x C-X bond & 1 x C-C bond formation

for synthetic equivalents of these 'synthons' and others (see supplementary slide 5)

#### TYPE I: e.g. 'Paal-Knorr' pyridine synthesis



NB. unsaturation in 1,5-dicarbonyl obviates the need for oxidation (see next slide)

#### TYPE II: e.g. enamine/1,3-dicarbonyl <u>pyridine</u> synthesis



- **Considerations during retrosynthetic analysis synthesis design:** 
  - identify strategic bond disconnections seek maximum convergence
    - identify synthons with simple, readily available synthetic equivalents (see supplementary slide 5)
    - avoid substrates with multiple possible enol/enolate forms:



pay attention to oxidation level – is the degree of unsaturation correct to avoid need for oxidation?



- what functional groups are required?...introduce before, or after ring formation?
- Look out for different tautometic forms of intermediates & products (see supplementary slides 6-7)



## Cycloaddition reactions are characterised by:

- concerted formation of 2 new bonds (C-C and/or C-het) no reaction intermediates
- asynchronous bond formation *i.e.* some build up of charge in transition state as the formation of some bonds is more advanced than that of others
- 'aromatic' transition state [(4n + 2)  $\pi$  electrons involved] pericyclic reactions
- convergent (efficiency, diversity) but need to control regiochemistry (see later)
- **<u>5-Membered ring</u>s** are formed from **1,3-dipolar cycloadditions** { $[\pi_{4s}+\pi_{2s}]$  pericyclic processes}
  - reaction of 1,3-dipole with dipolarophile
  - □ initial products can be *aromatic* or require subsequent elimination/oxidation → *aromatisation*



- **<u>6-Membered rings</u>** are formed from *hetero-Diels-Alder reactions* { $[\pi_{4s}+\pi_{2s}]$  pericyclic processes}
  - reaction of *diene* with *dienophile*
  - □ initial products are *di- or tetrahydro intermediates* subsequent elimination/oxidation → *aromatisation*

- **1,3-Dipolar cycloadditions are 6 electron**  $[\pi_{4s} + \pi_{2s}]$  concerted pericyclic reactions:
  - sometimes referred to as [3+2]-cycloadditions this refers to the number of ATOMS (not electrons)



There are 2 main classes of dipoles used in 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions:



#### TRIGONAL 1,3-DIPOLES



### <u>notes</u>

- 3 atom/4 $\pi$  electron species
- central atom  $\neq$  C
- always have formal charges
- charges @ 1,2- NOT 1,3-positions
- <u>linear</u> are: sp-sp-sp<sup>2</sup>
- trigonal are sp<sup>2</sup>-sp<sup>2</sup>-sp<sup>2</sup>
- no correlation between reactivity & geometry
- retrosynthetic 'signature' is ≥2 adjacent heteroatoms in the ring

Most multiple bonds can act as dipolarophiles:

□ BUT usuallya C=C bond...

C=C C=C C=O C=N C=N

- *Reactivity* is controlled by relative energies of Frontier Molecular Orbitals (FMOs)
  - the key interaction is between the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO) of one reactant and the Lowest Unoccupied (*i.e.* empty) Molecular Orbital (LUMO) of the other reactant

dipolarophile

(electron rich)

- the closer the two interacting orbitals are in energy the faster the reaction rate
- consequently, 2 important types can be identified:



#### *Regiochemistry* is controlled by:

- the polarity of the frontier molecular orbitals (as for hetero-Diels-Alder regioselectivity, see later)
- BUT, sterics can override *e.g.*:



hetero-Diels-Alder cycloadditions are 6 electron  $[\pi_{4s} + \pi_{2s}]$  concerted pericyclic reactions:

sometimes referred to as [4+2]-cycloadditions – this refers to the number of ATOMS (not electrons)



Azines are generally prepared by aza-Diels-Alder reactions between aza-1,3-dienes and alkenes/alkynes

- usually inverse electron demand
- **\Box** generally give non-aromatic heterocycle  $\rightarrow$  extrusion of small molecule(s)  $\rightarrow$  aromatic species



Most multiple bonds can act as dienophiles:

```
C=C C=C C=O C=N C=N
```

- **Reactivity** is controlled by the <u>relative energies</u> of the Frontier Molecular Orbitals (FMOs)
  - again, 2 important types:





- □ hetero-diene + all carbon dienophile ✓ usually inverse electron demand
- □ all carbon diene + hetero-dienophile ✓ usually *normal electron demand*
- hetero-diene + hetero-dienophile \* rare (tend to have alternative reaction paths available)
- **Regiochemistry** is controlled by the <u>polarity</u> of the frontier molecular orbitals
  - electron donating and withdrawing substituents perturb energies and sizes of orbitals most favourable reactions involve overlap of orbitals of similar size with <u>complementary polarities</u>:

$$R_{2}N \xrightarrow{R'} R' \xrightarrow{R_{2}N} \delta^{\Theta} R' \xrightarrow{R'} R' \xrightarrow{R'} mismatched polarity} \delta^{\Theta} N$$

The <u>acid</u> catalysed <u>aldol</u> reaction:



Overall:



The <u>base</u> catalysed <u>aldol</u> reaction:



#### Overall:



## For <u>kinetically</u> controlled ring closures:

- Baldwin J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1976**, 734 (DOI) & ibid 736 (DOI) & ibid 738 (DOI)
- the relative facility of ring-closure depends critically on the ring size, the hybridisation of the reacting centres & the mode of ring-closure (*exo* or *endo*)



#### tetrahedral systems:

- 2 to 7-exo-tet are all favoured processes
- 5 to 6-endo-tet are disfavoured
- trigonal systems:
  - 3 to 7-exo-trig are all favoured processes
  - 3 to 5-endo-trig are disfavoured; 6 to 7-endo-trig are favoured
- digonal systems:
  - 3 to 4-*exo-dig* are disfavoured processes; 5 to 7-*exo-dig* are favoured
  - 3 to 7-endo-dig are favoured

## Supplementary slide 4 – Baldwin's 'Rules for Ring Closure' cont.

- Baldwin's rules were formulated following analysis of transition state geometries:
  - Baldwin J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1976**, 734 [DOI] & ibid 736 [DOI] & ibid 738 [DOI]
  - **Tet** electrophilic centre has  $sp^3$  hybridisation <u>S<sub>N</sub>2 reaction</u>
    - evidence for this trajectory see: Eschenmoser Helv. Chim. Acta 1970, 53, 2059 [DOI]

$$X^{\bigcirc} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 180^{\circ}} Y \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y \xrightarrow{\gamma}$$

- **Trig** electrophilic centre has sp<sup>2</sup> hybridisation <u>Nucleophilic addition to carbonyl/imine</u>
  - evidence for this trajectory see: Burgi J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5065 [DOI] & Proctor & Dunnitz Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 471 [DOI]

$$X^{\bigcirc} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\frown} \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}[c] \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 109^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}[c] \\$$

- **Dig** electrophilic centre has sp hybridisation <u>Nucleophilic addition to nitrile/alkyne</u>
  - evidence for this trajectory see: Procter Helv. Chim. Acta 1978, 61, 2538 [DOI] & 1981, 64, 471 [DOI]

$$X^{\bigcirc} \xrightarrow{\alpha = 120^{\circ}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X^{\delta^{-}} \\ \vdots \\ \end{array} \right]^{\#} \xrightarrow{X} \xrightarrow{\beta^{-}} \\ N^{\circ} \end{array}$$

Supplementary slide 5 – 'Synthons'\* ↔ Synthetic Equivalents

dinucleophiles:



\* The term 'synthon' is used rather loosely here to denote the indicated 'polarity assigned retrosynthetic skeleta'. For clarity and generality, these lack full indication of oxidation level unlike a true 'synthon' (see: Corey & Cheng 'The Logic of Chemical Synthesis' Wiley 1989).

 $\stackrel{\oplus}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\times}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{}$ 

### Tautomerism in heterocyclic systems:

- many heteroaromatic compounds can exist in two or more TAUTOMERIC forms. TAUTOMERS are structurally distinct isomers in rapid equilibrium (usually). In most cases a proton shifts from one atom to another
- do not confuse TAUTOMERS with resonance forms
  - e.g. 2-hydroxy pyridine and 2- pyridone are TAUTOMERS and are distinct isomers which can be detected spectroscopically. Each can be represented by a series of resonance structures. The position of the tautomeric equilibrium can be different in different SOLVENTS



- 2-hydroxy pyridine is the predominant tautomer in the gas phase
- 2-pyridone is the predominant tautomer (>9:1 in EtOH) in solution... probably due to hydrogen-bonding:

Heterocyclic tautomerism in biological systems:

tautomer specific H-bonding is important in DNA/RNA base-pairing:



*i.e.* hydrazone tautomer of modified base is able to participate in base-pairing with different base partners leading to lack of specificity/fidelity

### Tautomeric equilibria & the Curtin-Hammett principle:

Curtin-Hammett principle: 'the ratio of products formed in a kinetically controlled reaction from one starting material, present in two (or more) rapidly equilibrating tautomeric forms, depends on the relative energies of the respective transition states NOT the relative ground state energies of the equilibrating tautomers.'

Ε

• e.g. methylation of 2-pyridone/2-hydroxypyridine:



