# Predicting time-dependent properties of polymers

PJ Gould & IG Cullis





© Copyright QinetiQ Limited 2010

1

### Acknowledgements

UK MOD

• For funding and support via DTIC

**David Porter** 

• the prime mover behind most of the work

Other members of Hazard consortia

• Cambridge University, FGE, DA Shrivenham, BAE SYSTEMS



### Contents

- 1 Phenomenology
- 2 Prediction
- 3 Mechanical properties
- 4 Equation of state
- **5** Integration
- 6 Summary











Polymers are visco-elastic materials whose stiffnesses depend upon sampling time.

Investigated using relaxation tests and small-strain cyclic tests





Mechanical tests: low rate vs high rate for a rubber

Six-fold increase in modulus over six decades of rate





Cyclic loading emphasises viscous behaviour:

 link between time-dependent stiffness and energy absorption

 $\tan \delta = \frac{G''}{G'}$ 

- DMA test (modulus vs frequency)
- Storage modulus G'
- Loss modulus G"
- Tan  $\delta$  phase angle lag between input and measured cycles
- Measure of energy dissipated in each cycle





Testing at different temperatures (single frequency) in DMA shows timetemperature superposition

More experimentally practical to generate the necessary wide range of timedependent data using temperature as proxy





What does tan  $\delta$  space look like for a standard polymer?



temperature



How does tan  $\delta$  vs T change with rate?

Transition peaks shift, reduce in height and broaden

- Integral underneath spectrum is constant
- Need to specify rate at which the glass transition temperature is measured



temperature



#### 2 Prediction





### 2 Prediction

Group Interaction Modelling (GIM) uses chemistry of polymer to predict mechanical and physical properties



D Porter & PJ Gould, International Journal of Solids and Structures, 46 (2009) pp1981-1993



### 2 GIM schematic





Parameters used:

- M molecular weight of a group
- V<sub>w</sub> (cc/mol) van der Waal's volume of a group
- E<sub>coh</sub> (J/mol) cohesive energy of intermolecular forces
- θ (K) 1-D Debye reference temperature related to polymer chain stiffness
- N thermal degrees of freedom per group

All of these can be measured, calculated or taken from literature



Loss peaks derive from development of degrees of freedom with time/temperature

For  $\beta$  peaks, N<sub> $\beta$ </sub> develop with Arrhenius activated kinetics



Activation energy from quantum mechanics calculation



Amenable to direct prediction using physical quantities

Use Vogel-Fulcher method for glass transition

- Relaxation time, f, is a temperature-activated function
- Experimentally observed that glass transition at equilibrium rates (infinitely long time) is 50 K below that at 1 Hz.  $T_{gr} = T_g 50$

$$f = f_{o} \exp \left(-\frac{1280 + 50 \ln \theta_{1}}{T - T_{gr} + 50}\right)$$

- At infinitely short times predict  $T_{gr} = T_g + 70$
- $\beta$  transitions can "catch up" with glass transition





#### 3 Mechanical properties





### **3** Stress-Strain

#### Transform DMA modulus to stress vs strain





### **3** Stress-Strain

We have predictions of expansion and modulus with temperature

Use temperature as a dummy variable

Strain  $\equiv$  thermal expansion

Stress = thermal expansion x modulus







### **3** Stress-Strain





### **3** Stress-Strain: Validation





### 3 Stress-Strain: Rate validation





### 3 Stress-Strain: Yield: Rate validation





### 4 Equation of State





### 4 Equation of state

Potential function method

- GIM parameters give E(V) at arbitrary temperature via thermodynamics
- Stress and bulk modulus from derivatives of E(V)

$$P = -\frac{dE}{dV}$$

- Correct for strain in chain axis
- Put P vs V into Rankine-Hugoniot equations



## **QinetiQ**

### **4** EOS Validation





4 Applicability of method











Increased rate shifts transition temperatures for both  $\beta$  and glass transitions

- may reduce degrees of freedom at the temperature of the test
- β transitions are inherent to the molecule
- Glass transitions are cooperative between molecules

At room temperature polycarbonate is below glass transition but HTPB is above.

Look at model predictions





Model predictions suggest that polymers under shock lose degrees of freedom associated with cooperative motion

• But not those  $\beta$  degrees of freedom that are thermally activated

Need more experiments to determine exactly what happens

- Shock measurements at different temperatures around the glass transition
- Measurements on polymers with different transition temperatures
- Implications for thermodynamics
- Need to take into account effects of pressure
  - Pressure affects transition temperatures via Debye temperature
- Need a better understanding of what a shock actually is



Why this matters: heat capacity

Polymer heat capacity is a function of number of degrees of freedom

• Sum of skeletal modes and atomic group modes



• At glass transition polymer gains an extra 0.5N from cooperative modes



Example: HTPB N = 22, M = 159,  $\theta_1 = 520K$   $N_E = 3n - N$   $\theta_E = 4000K$ , 1500K Gains an extra 0.5N at glass transition • Not under shock

Model predicts  $C_v = 1.84 \text{ J g}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ 

Experimental:  $C_p = 1.95 \text{ J g}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$  at 300K

Menikoff:  $C_p$  and  $C_v$  differ by only 5% for these materials



Temperature (K)

## **QinetiQ**

Pressure changes the vibrational modes and therefore changes the Debye  $\theta$  temperatures.

This reduces heat capacity

Use QM to calculate new vibrational modes for different pressures and thus the new  $\theta$  temperatures

Allows heat capacity to be a function of pressure as well as temperature and rate.



Debye temperatures can be included into model to suggest heat capacities under shock.

At 5 GPa and 300K, HTPB  $C_v = 1.0 \text{ J g}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ , RDX  $C_v = 0.79 \text{ J g}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ 

Can use Dreger & Gupta measurements to give RDX  $C_v = 0.81 \text{ J g}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ 

ZA Dreger, YM Gupta, J. Phys Chem B 111 (2007) pp 3893 - 3903

## **Qineti**Q

Pressure- and rate-dependence of heat capacity allows prediction of shock temperature

Has implications for safety of explosives

For example predictions of ignition

- Take RDX melt (478K) as ignition of surface burning
- Pressure required to ignite drops from 2.8 GPa to 2 GPa when compared to standard heat capacity
  - Larger drop if higher temperature is used





### 6 Summary

Polymers are time-dependent in thermomechanical properties

We can predict their behaviour

Modelling gives insight into behaviour outside the range of experiments Allows design of new materials and compositions for specific purposes It is very relevant to safety analysis to get it right



# **QinetiQ**