Basics of Seiberg-Witten theory

Tim Perutz*

Imperial College Junior Geometry Seminar, May 2002

The difficulty in summarising Seiberg-Witten theory is that the Seiberg-Witten equations are complicated. The data they involve—Spin^c-structures, complex spinors, coupled Dirac operators—are themselves tricky to understand, never mind the analysis required to understand their solutions. But, while the equations appear awkward, the moduli spaces of Seiberg-Witten solutions modulo gauge are compact, oriented, typically zero-dimensional manifolds, and this makes Seiberg-Witten invariants considerably easier to compute than Donaldson's instanton invariants. Witten's paper of November 1994 [W] suppresses detailed calculations, but the basic arguments are beautifully clear; it is this style, rather than the useful but impenetrable thicket of detail provided by Nicolaescu [N], for instance, that I would like to emulate in this lecture. I have also made considerable use of Donaldson's review [Do]. For an elegant discussion of spinors consult [De].

1 Spin c -structures

The compact Lie group $Spin^{c}(n)$ is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(n) = \frac{\operatorname{Spin}(n) \times \operatorname{U}(1)}{\pm (1, 1)} \tag{1}$$

where $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ is the unique Lie group which double covers $\operatorname{SO}(n)$, realised as the following subset of the Clifford algebra $\operatorname{Cliff}(n)$ associated to the negative of the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$Spin(n) = \{x_1 \cdots x_{2k} \in Cliff(n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n; ||x_i|| = 1\}.$$

^{*}Comments and corrections gratefully received at tim.perutz@ic.ac.uk

We have

$$Spin^{c}(3) \cong (SU(2) \times U(1))/ \pm (1,1) \cong U(2);$$

 $Spin^{c}(4) \cong (SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1))/ \pm (1,1,1)$
 $\cong \{(A,B) \in U(2) \times U(2) : \det(A) = \det(B)\}.$

A **Spin**^c-structure on an oriented Riemannian n-manifold (X,g) is a lift of the structure group from SO(n) to $Spin^c(n)$ under the natural S^1 -fibration $\pi: Spin^c(n) \to SO(n)$. Thus if $\{U_\alpha\}$ is a cover of X, all of whose multiple intersections are contractible, then a $Spin^c$ -structure is encoded by a Čech 1-cocycle $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to Spin^c(n)$ such that the maps $g_{\alpha\beta} = \pi \circ \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ are the transition functions for (TX, g). The lifted transition functions define a principal bundle $P_{Spin^c(2n)}$ and a homomorphism of principal bundles $P_{Spin^c(2n)} \to P_{SO(2n)}$ which restricts fibrewise to the covering map $Spin^c(2n) \to SO(2n)$.

• A **Spin-structure**, that is, a lift of the structure group to Spin(n), induces a $Spin^c$ -structure via the map

$$\operatorname{Spin}(n) \to \operatorname{Spin}^c(n) : x \to \pm(x,1).$$

- An almost complex structure—a lift of the structure group from SO(2k) to U(k)—also yields a canonical $Spin^c$ -structure, because the natural map $U(k) \to SO(2k)$ factors through $Spin^c(2k)$.
- Hirzebruch and Hopf proved that any smooth oriented manifold of dimension ≤ 4 admits a Spin^c-structure (see [GS]); in contrast, a smooth oriented 4-manifold admits a Spin-structure iff its intersection form is even, iff $w_2 = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{s} be a Spin^c-structure with 1-cocycle $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = (\pm h_{\alpha\beta}, \pm \zeta_{\alpha\beta})$. Then $z_{\alpha\beta} := (\pm \zeta_{\alpha\beta})^2$ defines a U(1)-valued 1-cocycle, and hence a complex line bundle L. We call L the **determinant line bundle** $\det(\mathfrak{s})$ of the Spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s} . Write $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ for its **first Chern class** $c_1(L) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, which is represented by the \mathbb{Z} -valued 2-cocycle $n_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z_{\alpha\beta}z_{\beta\gamma}z_{\beta\gamma})$; it reduces mod 2 to $w_2(X)$.

We regard Spin^c-structures as **equivalent** if their associated 1-cocycles $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ are cohomologous, in the sense that $\epsilon_{\beta}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha}$ for a 0-cocycle $\epsilon_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to (1, \pm 1) \subset \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(n)$, and write $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(X)$ for the set of equivalence classes. There is a free, transitive right action of the group $\check{H}^{1}(X; S_{1})$ on $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(X)$. Thus, if $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(X) \neq \emptyset$ then we can identify it non-canonically with $\check{H}^{1}(X; S^{1})$, and hence with the group of smooth line bundles. Given

 $\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_2 \in \operatorname{Spin}^c(X)$ we can write $\mathfrak{s}_2 = \mathfrak{s}_1 \otimes L$ for a line bundle L, so that $\det \mathfrak{s}_2 = \det \mathfrak{s}_1 \otimes L^2$ and crucially

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s}_2) = c_1(\mathfrak{s}_1) + 2c_1(L).$$
 (2)

If \mathfrak{s} is the *canonical* Spin^c-structure of an almost complex structure, then $\det(\mathfrak{s})$ is K_X^{-1} , the dual of the canonical line bundle, and we can write a general Spin^c-structure as $K_X^{-1} \otimes L$.

2 Spinor bundles

A basic fact about real Clifford algebras is that there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{S}_{2n} = \mathbb{S}_{2n}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}_{2n}^-$ and an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded (or 'super') algebras

$$\operatorname{cl}:\operatorname{Cliff}(2n)\otimes\mathbb{C}\to\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{S}_{2n}).$$

In fact, a choice of almost complex structure J on $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ effects a splitting $V = V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$ of V into $\pm i$ -eigenspaces for J, and we can take

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n} = \Lambda^{0,\bullet} V = \Lambda^{\bullet} V^{0,1},$$

with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading induced by the natural \mathbb{Z} -grading by degree. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{2n}^+ = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{2n}^- = 2^{n-1}$. Via the inclusion map $i : \mathrm{Spin}(2n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cliff}^+(2n)$ we obtain a representation

$$\rho: \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(2n) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{S}_{2n}): \quad \pm(x,z) \mapsto z \operatorname{cl}(i(x))$$

which decomposes into representations $\rho_{\pm} : \operatorname{Spin}^{c}(2n) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{S}_{2n}^{\pm})$.

Consider an oriented Riemannian 2n-manifold (X, g) and a Spin^c -structure with principal bundle $P_{\operatorname{Spin}^c(2n)}$. Form the associated bundles

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}} = P_{\mathrm{Spin}^c(2n)} \times_{\rho} \mathbb{S}_{2n}, \quad \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\pm} = P_{\mathrm{Spin}^c(2n)} \times_{\rho_{\pm}} \mathbb{S}_{2n}^{\pm}.$$

Sections of these bundles are called (positive or negative) **complex spinors**.

On a 4-manifold (X, g) we can be more explicit. Working over a chart U, we have

$$S_{\mathfrak{s}}^+(U) = \Lambda^0(T^*U) \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}(T^*U), \quad S_{\mathfrak{s}}^-(U) = \Lambda^{1,0}(T^*U).$$
 (3)

Note first that

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+ \cong \det(\mathfrak{s}) \cong \Lambda^2 \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^-,$$

an observation which it is enough to verify locally. Next, we can use the metric to identify $\Lambda^{0,2}$ with the bundle Λ^2_+ of complexified self-dual 2-forms:

$$S_{\mathfrak{s}}^{+} = \Lambda^{0}(T^{*}U) \oplus \Lambda^{2}_{+}(T^{*}U). \tag{4}$$

Thus locally a positive spinor decomposes into two pieces, a function $X \to \mathbb{C}$ and a complexified self-dual 2-form. In the case of the canonical Spin^c-structure of an almost complex 4-manifold formulae (3) and (3) hold globally, but in general we must twist by sections of a complex line bundle.

3 Dirac operators

Let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection on (X^{2n}, g) , regarded as a connection on the principal frame bundle $P_{SO(2n)}$. Given a Spin-structure on X we can identify the Lie algebras of Spin(2n) and SO(2n) and so obtain a connection on $P_{Spin(2n)}$, hence also on the associated spinor bundles. If we only have a $Spin^c$ -structure \mathfrak{s} then we need a bit more information, since $Spin^c(2n)$ has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$. However, if we take in addition a unitary connection A on $det(\mathfrak{s})$ then we do obtain a connection ∇^A on the complex spinor bundle $S_{\mathfrak{s}}$, which respects the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -decomposition. Locally this connection looks like

$$\nabla^A = d + \frac{1}{2}iA + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i < j}\Omega_{ij}e_ie_j, \tag{5}$$

where the e_i are an orthonormal frame field for TX, and they act by Clifford multiplication, explained in a moment. The **Dirac operator** $D_A : \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}})$ is now defined by

$$D_A s = \sum e_i(\nabla_{e_i}^A s).$$

This needs some thinking through: $\nabla^A s$ lives in $\Gamma(T^*X \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}})$, and the covariant derivative $\nabla^A_{e_i} s$ contracts this to a section of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. Now over each point $x \in X$, e_i can be considered as an element of Cliff(2n), hence as an endomorphism of \mathbb{S} ; thus $e_i(\nabla^A_{e_i} u) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}})$. The jargon is that e_i acts by **Clifford multiplication** $\text{cl}: TM \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}} \to \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. A coordinate-free expression for D_A is

$$D_{A} = \operatorname{cl} \circ \nabla_{A} : \ \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{A}} \Gamma(T^{*}M \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\operatorname{metric}} \Gamma(TM \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\operatorname{cl}} \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}).$$

The Dirac operator satisfies a Weitzenböck identity due to Lichnierowicz ('one of the most fruitful calculations in differential geometry'—SKD):

$$D_A^* D_A = (\nabla^A)^* \nabla^A + \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cl}(F_A^+), \tag{6}$$

where s is the scalar curvature of g, and the $i\mathbb{R}$ -valued curvature 2-form F_A can act by Clifford multiplication by identifying $\frac{1}{2}x \wedge y$ with the Clifford product xy. One can check that D_A exchanges sections of the subbundles $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\pm}$; in fact, usually the notation D_A is used for the map $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^-)$. I remark without elaboration that D_A is a generalised Laplacian, that is its symbol map (re)defines a Clifford algebra stucture.

4 The Seiberg-Witten equations

Fix the following data:

- A smooth, oriented¹, Riemannian 4-manifold (X, g); we won't assume compactness yet.
- A Spin^c-stucture \mathfrak{s} on X, with determinant line bundle $L = \det(\mathfrak{s})$.
- \bullet A hermitian metric h on L.
- A closed, imaginary 2-form η , the perturbation parameter.

The Spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s} defines complex spinor bundles $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\pm}$. Define the **configuration space** $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ to be the set of pairs (ψ, A) where

- ψ is a section of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+$ (a 'spinor field' on X);
- A is a unitary connection on L (a 'potential').

For each such A there is a Dirac operator $D_A : \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^-)$. The **Seiberg-Witten equations** are:

$$D_A \psi = 0$$
 (Dirac equation)
$$\operatorname{cl}(F_A^+ + \eta^+) = \frac{1}{2} q(\psi)$$
 (monopole equation)

The Dirac equation takes place in $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^-)$, the monopole equation in $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+)$. Here $q(\psi) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+)$ is the traceless symmetric endomorphism

$$q(\psi) = \overline{\psi} \otimes \psi - \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^2 \mathrm{Id},$$

or in matrix form

$$q\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha\\\beta\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha|^2-|\beta|^2)&\overline{\alpha}\beta\\\overline{\beta}\alpha&\frac{1}{2}(|\beta|^2-|\alpha|^2)\end{array}\right).$$

¹I mean oriented, not just orientable, i.e. we fix an isomorphism $H^4(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

4.1 Gauge symmetry

The Seiberg-Witten equations have more symmetry than meets the eye: they are preserved by a (left) action of the U(1) gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{Map}(X, \operatorname{U}(1))$. Let's try to understand how this group acts.

First, U(1) acts by scalar multiplication on the vector spaces \mathbb{S}_4^{\pm} , so (from their definition as associated bundles) we get a fibre-preserving action of \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\pm}$. Hence \mathcal{G} acts on sections $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\pm})$. Now things get more subtle: \mathcal{G} naturally acts by conjugation on the set of connections on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}$; given our unitary connection A on L, we obtain a covariant derivative ∇^A on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, and $\gamma \cdot \nabla^A := \gamma \nabla^A \gamma^{-1}$. But this connection is also induced from a unitary connection on L, namely $A - 2(d\gamma)\gamma^{-1}$. The factor of 2 looks strange if you're used to Yang-Mills theory—to see where it comes from look at equation 5. Finally, we have a formula for the action on configuration space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}$:

$$\gamma \cdot (\psi, A) = (\gamma \psi, A - 2d\gamma \cdot \gamma^{-1});$$

using this formula you can deduce the invariance of the Seiberg-Witten equations. The **Seiberg-Witten moduli space** associated to our data is defined to be

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \{\text{Seiberg-Witten solutions}\}/\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathcal{G}.$$

4.2 Involution

There is an additional symmetry of the equations, this time beween solutions with perturbation parameter η and those with parameter $-\eta$. It is induced by the involution $(h_{\alpha\beta}, z_{\alpha\beta}) \mapsto (h_{\alpha\beta}, \overline{z_{\alpha\beta}})$ on $\operatorname{Spin}^c(X)$.

5 Properties of the equations

Suppose (ψ, A) is an L^2 -solution to the Seiberg-Witten equations on X (e.g. any solution when X is compact). By the Weitzenböck formula (6),

$$0 = D_A^* D_A \psi = (\nabla^A)^* \nabla^A \psi + \frac{s}{4} \psi + \frac{1}{2} F_A^+(\psi)$$

(recall s is scalar curvature). Taking inner products with ψ , integrating, and simplifying using the monopole equation, it's straightforward to show

$$\int_{X} \left(|\nabla^{A} \psi|^{2} + \frac{1}{4} |\psi|^{4} - (\eta^{+}(\psi), \psi) \right) \operatorname{vol}_{g} = -\int_{X} \frac{s}{4} |\psi|^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{g}. \tag{7}$$

5.1 Non-negative scalar curvature

Suppose $s \geq 0$ everywhere, and take $\eta = 0$. Then the LHS of (7) is non-negative while the RHS is non-positive, hence both are zero. So $\psi \equiv 0$ and $F_A^+ \equiv 0$. We will discuss solutions of this form later; the point is that, when $b_2^+ > 0$, for generic metrics they do not exist. We will conclude from this that on a closed 4-manifold with $b_2^+ > 0$ admitting a metric of non-negative scalar curvature the Seiberg-Witten invariants vanish identically. Both this statement and its contrapositive are useful in 4-manifold topology.

5.2 Finiteness

Now suppose $s_0 := -\min_X(s) \ge 0$ on the compact manifold X. Again, take a solution (ψ, A) to the $\eta = 0$ equations. By (7) we have

$$\int_{X} (|\nabla^{A}\psi|^{2} + \frac{1}{4}|\psi|^{4}) \operatorname{vol}_{g} \leq \frac{s_{0}}{4} \int_{X} |\psi|^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{g} \leq \frac{s_{0}}{4} \sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}(X) \int_{X} |\psi^{4}| \operatorname{vol}_{g}}$$

where the second inequality is an application of Cauchy-Schwartz. Throw away the $|\nabla^A \psi|^2$ term and rearrange, to obtain the bound

$$\int_X |\psi|^4 \le s_0^2 \text{Vol}(X).$$

This in turn yields an L^2 upper bound on ${\cal F}_A^+,$ and since by Chern-Weil theory we have

$$c_1^2(\mathfrak{s})[X] = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_X F_A^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_X (|F_A^+|^2 - |F_A^-|^2) \text{vol}_g,$$

 $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s})[X]$ is bounded above. We will see later from an index calculation (8) that for generic metrics there are no solutions when $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s})[X] < 2\chi(X) + 3\tau(X)$, where χ and τ are Euler characteristic and signature. So $c_1^2(\mathfrak{s})$ is bounded in $H^4(X;\mathbb{Z})$, hence $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ is bounded in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, hence there are only finitely many Spin^c-structures on X for which the $\eta = 0$ Seiberg-Witten equations admit solutions.

6 The gauge theory strategy

In gauge theory one considers the space $\mathcal{M}_{X,E}(g)$ of solutions modulo gauge transformations to a PDE on a vector bundle E over a smooth oriented manifold X. Solutions to the PDE are invariant under a group of bundle

automorphisms, the **gauge group**. We are interested just in the orientation-preserving diffeomorphism type of X; however, the PDE will involve some auxiliary data g (metrics on X and E, perturbation parameters, ...) which are not preserved by orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms. The idea, then, is this:

- If the gauge group acts freely, the configuration space divided by the gauge group action will be a smooth Banach manifold, containing the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g)$. There may be **reducible solutions**, which have nontrivial stabilizer. We must arrange that for generic² data g these reducibles do not hit $\mathcal{M}(g)$. More than this, we need to show that reducibles do not occur in **generic 1-parameter families** of data g. This will allow us to construct 'cobordisms' between moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(g_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(g_2)$ in such a way as to show that $\mathcal{M}(g)$ does not depend on g.
- If the equations are **elliptic** then away from reducibles their linearizations will define Fredholm maps between Banach manifolds. For generic choices of the data g, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(g)$ will then be a smooth finite-dimensional manifold (Sard-Smale theorem); reducible solutions would introduce singularities. The dimension of $\mathcal{M}(g)$, for generic g, is the **index** of the equations; this can be computed using the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.
- In some cases the moduli spaces will be compact; if not, one must construct some appropriate compactification, typically as a completion in the ambient space. The 'ends' of the compactification often have a natural geometric description.
- One must define an orientation for the moduli space. Writing T for the linearization of the operators defining the PDE, $\ker(T) \oplus (\operatorname{coker}(T))^*$ defines an element of the real K-theory on X, the **index bundle** $\operatorname{ind}(T)$; its top exterior power is actually a real line bundle $\operatorname{det} \operatorname{ind}(T)$, and Donaldson gave a recipe for producing an orientation of the moduli space from an orientation of $\operatorname{det} \operatorname{ind}(T)$.

This list is to some extent an idealisation; one must consider variants, such as isolated reducibles appearing in generic 1-parameter families; and to get the arguments to work one must usually allow solutions in certain Sobolev spaces, then use a 'bootstrapping' argument to show that this doesn't affect the

²A property holds generically in a metric space if it holds on a countable intersection of open, dense subsets, itself dense by Baire's theorem.

topology of the moduli space. However, it does represent the basic strategy used in a number of problems—one of course, being Seiberg-Witten theory:

- Instantons (Donaldson theory);
- Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds (Gromov, Gromov-Witten invariants);
- Floer homology (Lagrangian, instanton, Seiberg-Witten, etc.) and 3-manifold invariants (Casson, etc.).

The moduli spaces in Donaldson or Seiberg-Witten theory can be used in two basic ways. First, to give non-existence proofs, e.g. for smooth, closed, oriented 4-manifolds with negative definite, non-diagonal intersection form, by deriving a contradiction from the topology of the moduli space. Second, to define invariants of such manifolds. The strategy is then

• If the moduli space \mathcal{M} is a 0-dimensional compact manifold then it is a finite set; one counts the points with signs obtained by comparing the canonical orientation of a finite set with the orientation constructed on \mathcal{M} , and obtains an invariant

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \varepsilon_x, \quad \varepsilon_x = \pm 1.$$

• In higher dimensions, construct a map $\mu: H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z})$. If \mathcal{M} has even dimension 2d then define invariants as

$$\langle \mu([\Sigma_1]) \cup \cdots \cup \mu([\Sigma_d]), [\mathcal{M}] \rangle.$$

We will only discuss a cheap version of this, using a fixed class $U \in H^2(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z})$.

7 The Seiberg-Witten case

Reducibles. If the gauge group \mathcal{G} fails to act freely on (ψ, A) then clearly $\psi = 0$; then $F_A^+ + \eta^+ = 0$, and the stabilizer of (ψ, A) is U(1). Consider the unperturbed case $\eta = 0$ (so we have an 'abelian instanton'). Now $F_A/2\pi i$ represents $c_1(L)$, so it lies in the integral lattice in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and $F_A^+ = 0$, so it lies in the anti-self-dual subspace. But if $b_2^+ \geq 1$ then for generic metrics an integral, anti-self-dual harmonic form is zero, and for $b_2^+ \geq 2$ the same is true for generic 1-parameter families of metrics. So to get absolute invariants we must assume $b_2^+ \geq 2$, while for $b_2^+ = 1$ we will get 'chambers' in the

space of metrics, giving different invariants. Note how useful it was that we considered pairs (ψ, A) , not just connections A.

Index. Witten shows that the linearization of the Seiberg-Witten equations is elliptic, of index

$$\operatorname{ind}(d^* \oplus d^+) + \operatorname{ind}(D_A),$$

where both terms are given by standard formulae. The result is that the 'expected' dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is

$$\frac{1}{4}\{c_1(L)^2 - (2\chi(X) + 3\tau(X))\}\tag{8}$$

where χ and τ denote Euler characteristic and signature. If the index is negative the moduli space will generically be empty. One feature of this formula is immediately striking: on an almost complex 4-manifold, $c_1^2(TX)[X] = 2\chi + 3\tau$, so conveniently the expected dimension for the canonical Spin^c-structure is zero.

Compactness. The Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces are always compact, unlike the instanton moduli spaces. Witten remarks that the lack of compactness in the instanton theory can be explained in the following way: the equations are conformally invariant; there exists a nontrivial L^2 instanton on flat \mathbb{R}^4 ; such a solution may be embedded into a small region of any 4-manifold, giving a highly localised approximate solution; this may sometimes be perturbed to an exact solution. There may therefore be a sequence of instanton solutions shrinking to zero size, and such a sequence has no convergent subsequence (think of approximations to the delta distribution). In contrast, we saw in out discussion of non-negative scalar curvature that the Seiberg-Witten equations admit no nontrivial L^2 solutions on flat \mathbb{R}^4 . One can prove compactness by establishing an L^{∞} bound on the curvature F_A using the maximum principle, then applying elliptic theory.

Orientation. In Seiberg-Witten theory the orientation on $\det \operatorname{ind}(T)$ arisies from an orientation of the vector space $H^2_+(X;\mathbb{R}) \oplus H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$.

7.1 Invariants

In the 0-dimensional case we make a signed count of solutions, setting

$$\mathbf{SW}_X(\mathfrak{s}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \varepsilon_x, \quad \varepsilon_x = \pm 1.$$

In higher dimensions we can use the pullback square

$$i^*\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{s}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathcal{G}$$

where $\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{pt})$. Since $\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{s}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathcal{G}$ is a line bundle away from reducibles, we can take first Chern classes and so obtain an element $U = c_1(i^*\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}) \in H^2(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{s}};\mathbb{Z})$. Now define

$$\mathbf{SW}_X(\mathfrak{s}) = \langle (1-U)^{-1}, [\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}] \rangle.$$

Note that this can be nonzero only when $\dim \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is even. When $b_2^+ > 1$ $\mathbf{SW}_X(\mathfrak{s})$ is an invariant; when $b_2^+ = 1$ it takes two values, depending on η and g, and we may consider it crudely as an unordered pair of integers.

A less sensitive but more readily computable invariant is

$$\operatorname{Bas}(X) = \{c_1(\det(\mathfrak{s})) : \mathfrak{s} \in \operatorname{Spin}^c(X); \, \mathbf{SW}_X(\mathfrak{s}) \neq 0\} \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

the set of Seiberg-Witten **basic classes**. In all known cases where $b_2^+ > 1$, the basic classes correspond to 0-dimensional moduli spaces, but when $b_2^+ = 1$ this is frequently not the case.

8 Seiberg-Witten invariants of Kähler surfaces: Witten's factorization method

In this section (X, ω) is a compact Kähler surface with canonical line bundle K_X . We'll also assume $b_2^+ > 1$ with respect to the canonical orientation $\omega^2 > 0$: since $b_2^+ = 2p_g + 1$ we can then take as perturbation parameter a **holomorphic 2-form** $\eta \in H^0(X; K_X)$. As we've seen, the canonical Spin^c-structure \mathfrak{s}_0 has determinant line bundle K_X^{-1} , and spinor bundles

$$\mathbb{S}_0^+ = \Lambda^{0,0} T^* X \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} T^* X = \underline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus K_X^{-1}, \quad \mathbb{S}_0^- = \Lambda^{0,1} T^* X.$$

A general Spin^c-structure can now be obtained by twisting: $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_0 \otimes L$; $\det(\mathfrak{s}) = K_X^{-1} \otimes L^2$. The spinor bundles $\mathbb{S}_L^{\pm} := \mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\pm}$ are now

$$\mathbb{S}_L^+ = L \oplus (L \otimes K_X^{-1}), \quad \mathbb{S}_L^- = L \otimes \Lambda^{0,1} T^* X.$$

Using this splitting we write an even spinor $\psi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_L^+)$ as

$$\psi = \alpha + \beta, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(L), \ \beta \in \Gamma(L \otimes K_X^{-1}) = \Gamma(L \otimes \Lambda^{0,2}).$$

The space of complexified self-dual 2-forms can be identified with $\Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \mathbb{C}.\omega \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}$, and using this decomposition Witten rewrites the η -perturbed monopole equation as

$$F^{2,0} = \overline{F^{0,2}} = \overline{\alpha}\beta - \eta, \quad iF^{1,1} \cdot \omega = |\beta|^2 - |\alpha|^2,$$

where $F = F_B$ is the curvature of a connection B on L. The Dirac operator D_A can be written in the form $\sqrt{2}(\overline{\partial}_B + \overline{\partial}_B^*)$. The coupled Dolbeault operator $\overline{\partial}_B$ is a map $\Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L \otimes \Lambda^{0,1})$ —it kills $\Gamma(L \otimes \Lambda^{0,2})$ —while its dual $\overline{\partial}_B^*$ is a map $\Gamma(L \otimes \Lambda^{0,2}) \to \Gamma(L \otimes \Lambda^{0,1})$. So the Dirac equation is

$$\overline{\partial}_B \alpha + \overline{\partial}_B^* \overline{\beta} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$F^{0,2}\alpha = \overline{\partial}_B \overline{\partial}_B \alpha = -\overline{\partial}_B \overline{\partial}_B^* \overline{\beta},$$

so when $\eta = 0$ we have $\overline{\partial}_B \overline{\partial}_B^* \overline{\beta} + |\alpha|^2 \beta = 0$ and

$$\int_{X} (|\overline{\partial}_{B}^{*}|^{2} + |\alpha|^{2}|\beta|^{2}) \operatorname{vol}_{g}.$$

Hence $F^{0,2} = \overline{\alpha}\beta = 0$. By the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem, $\overline{\partial}_B$ defines a holomorphic structure on L. In particular, $c_1(L)$ is of type (1,1).

We now drop the assumption $\eta=0$, but we can assume $\int_X F \wedge \eta=\int_X F^{0,2} \wedge \eta=0$, because basic classes must have type (1,1). A similar procedure now shows

$$\int_X (|\overline{\partial}_B^*|^2 + |\alpha \overline{\beta} - \eta|^2) \operatorname{vol}_g = 0.$$

So we find:

- $F^{0,2} = 0$. Thus B defines a holomorphic structure on L.
- $\overline{\partial}_B \alpha = 0$, so α is a holomorphic section of L.
- $\overline{\partial}_B^*\beta = 0$, so $\overline{\beta}$ is a holomorphic section of $L^* \otimes K_X$.
- $\alpha \overline{\beta} = \eta$: solutions describe a **holomorphic factorization** of the perturbation parameter.

Conversely, given such data we can go backwards and find a unique solution to the equations. So the slogan is

Everything reduces to algebraic geometry!

A consequence of this factorization is that solutions of the monopole equation are *isolated*—think of their divisors—and so the moduli space is at most

0-dimensional. From the dimension formula, we find that a basic class \boldsymbol{x} satisfies

$$x^2 = K_X^2$$
.

Of course, a Spin^c-structure with non-empty 0-dimensional moduli space may not define a basic class: the signs attached to the points of the moduli space could cancel out. Writing the Poincaré dual of η as $\sum r_i[C_i]$ for disjoint connected curves C_i and $r_i \geq 0$, we can see from the factorization that if the line bundle L corresponds to a basic class then the divisor of a section of Lhas the form $\sum s_i[C_i]$ with $0 \leq s_i \leq r_i$. Integrating the Kähler form ω on the C_i we see that if $\kappa \in \text{Bas}(X)$ then

$$0 \le |\kappa \cdot [\omega]| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2s_i - r_i) \int_{C_i} \omega \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \int_{C_i} \omega = K \cdot \omega.$$

Equality occurs iff all $s_i = 0$ or $s_i = r_i$, which means either L or $K_X \otimes L^*$ is trivial, i.e. $\kappa = K_X^{\pm 1}$. In either case there is a single solution, so (conflating line bundles with Spin^c-structures) we have $\mathbf{SW}_X(K_X^{\pm 1}) = \pm 1$.

To summarise:

$$(X,\omega)$$
 Kähler, $p_g(X) > 0$, $\kappa \in \operatorname{Bas}(X)$. Then $\kappa^2 = K_X^2$ and
$$\kappa \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(X); \qquad 0 \le |\kappa \cdot \omega| \le K_X \cdot \omega;$$
$$|\kappa \cdot \omega| = K_X \cdot \omega \Leftrightarrow \kappa = \pm K_X; \qquad \mathbf{SW}_X(K_X^{\pm 1}) = \pm 1.$$

An immediate consequence is that if K_X is torsion (which is true for tori, K3s, Enriques and hyperelliptic surfaces) we have

$$Bas(X) = \{\pm K_X\}.$$

The same is true for minimal surfaces with K_X ample ('general type'): you can prove this in a couple of lines using the Hodge index theorem and the fact $K^2 > 0$. Consequently orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms between manifolds of these types preserve the canonical class, up to sign³. On minimal elliptic surfaces the set of basic classes is larger, and distinguishes various diffeomorphism types. If \tilde{X} is a blow-up of X at a point, with exceptional divisor Poincaré dual to E, then

$$Bas(\tilde{X}) = proper transform(Bas(X)) \pm E.$$

³Take care: an orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms $f: X \to Y$ induces $f^*: \operatorname{Spin}^c(Y) \to \operatorname{Spin}^c(X)$, and $\operatorname{\mathbf{SW}}_Y = \pm \operatorname{\mathbf{SW}}_X \circ f^*$. However, in general f^* will not be compatible with our identification of Spin^c -structures with cohomology classes.

A final point: we used the canonical orientation on X, determined by setting $\omega^2 > 0$. However, if b_2^+ and b_2^- were both > 1 we could have used the opposite orientation. We would have found that the Seiberg-Witten invariants all vanished. It seems to be typical of smooth orientable 4-manifolds that the Seiberg-Witten invariants are nontrivial in at most one orientation.

References

- [De] Deligne, P., Note on spinors, in Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, vol. 1, A.M.S., Providence, RI (1999), pp. 99-135.
- [Do] Donaldson, S.K., The Seiberg-Witten equations and 4-manifold topology, Bull. A.M.S. 1 (1996), pp.45-70.
- [GS] Gompf, R.E., and Stipsicz, A.I., 4-Manifolds and Kirby calculus, A.M.S. (Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol. 20), Providence, RI (1999).
- [N] Nicolaescu, L., *Notes on Seiberg-Witten theory*, A.M.S. (Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol. 28), Providence, RI (2000).
- [W] Witten, E., Monopoles and four-manifolds, Math. Res. Letters 1 (1994), pp.769-796; hep-th/9411102.