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| **External Reviewer Nominations**Details of eight potential reviewers should be provided. This should include four reviewers from academia and four reviewers from industry, the health profession or a relevant professional sector. Two from each category will be selected by the Chair of the relevant quality committee. Please note the quality committees will not consider programme proposals with less than four external reviewers. **Before listing reviewers below please ensure that they are happy and able to comment on the programme within the necessary time scale.** **External reviewers should be independent and able to provide an objective and informed view of the programme and the programme standards. In order to avoid conflicts of interest, please do not nominate:*** Anyone who is a current employee or holds an honorary contract of Imperial, or, in the case of collaborative programmes, an employee/honorary contract holder of the proposed collaborative partner(s);
* Anyone who is a current external examiner for an Imperial programme of study or, in the case of collaborative programmes, an employee of the proposed collaborative partner(s);
* Anyone who is a member of a governing body or committee of Imperial or, in the case of collaborative programmes, a member of the governing body or committee of proposed collaborative partner(s);
* Anyone with a close professional, contractual or personal relationship with a member of staff involved with the programme of study;
* Anyone who has been involved or advised of the development of the programme
 |
|  | Name (including title): | Organisation (including address and institution web address): | Position: | E-mail Address: | Nature of past/present relationship |
| Academic One |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Two |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Three |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Four |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry One |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry Two |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry Three |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry Four |  |  |  |  |  |